



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	THE FILE COPL
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
FOSR-TR- 87-0971	- NECEPTER SCRIPTED RUMBER
4: TITLE (anii Subilile)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED.
Strong consistency of M-estimates for the	Jamal
linear model	Technical - July 1987
·-·	87-23
AUTHOR(a) .	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
X. R. Chen and Yeuhua Wu	F49620-85-C-0008
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Center for Multivariate Analysis	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK
Fifth Floor Thackeray Hall University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260	41102F 2304 A.5
	12. REPORT DATE
Air Force Office of Scientific Research	July 1987
Department of the Air Force Bolling Air Force Base, DC 20332 Bld 40	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING ACENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	IS. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Same Ess 11	Unclassified
	184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
16. DISTHIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite	ed —
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abelract entered in Block 20, if different fre	ELECTE 0CT 0 2 1987
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	CLE D
Key words and phrases: M-estimate, linear mode	l, strong consistency.
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This article defines the M-estimate for the	linear model directly
from the minimization problem	• . •
$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho(Y_{j} - \alpha - \beta'X_{j}) = \min.$	(continued)
DD FORM 1472	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

DD 1 JAN 7, 1473

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20.(Continued)

Suppose that (X_1,Y_1) , ..., (X_n,Y_n) , ... are i.i.d. observations of a random vector (X,Y), where Y is one-dimensional and X may be multi-dimensional. It is shown that the M-estimates $\hat{\alpha}_n$, $\hat{\beta}_n$ defined in this manner converge with probability one to α_0 , β_0 respectively $((\alpha_0,\beta_0')$ is the true parameter) as $n \to \infty$, under very general conditions on the function ρ and the distribution of (X,Y).

STRONG CONSISTENCY OF M-ESTIMATES FOR THE LINEAR MODEL *

X. R. Chen and Yeuhua Wu

Center for Multivariate Analysis
University of Pittsburgh

Center for Multivariate Analysis University of Pittsburgh



STRONG CONSISTENCY OF M-ESTIMATES FOR THE LINEAR MODEL *

X. R. Chen and Yeuhua Wu

Center for Multivariate Analysis University of Pittsburgh

July 1987

Technical Report No. 87-24

Center for Multivariate Analysis Fifth Floor Thackeray Hall University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260



Acces	ion For	
LITIO	m in it	
By Distrib	ala 2 of	
Av. Hobi ty linges		
Dist	Avail 200 Sp. Crai	
A-1		

Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Contract F49620-85-C-0008. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes not-withstanding any copyright notation hereon.

STRONG CONSISTENCY OF M-ESTIMATES FOR THE LINEAR MODEL

X. R. Chen and Yeuhua Wu

ABSTRACT

This article defines the M-estimate for the linear model directly from the minimization problem

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(Y_i - \alpha - \beta'X_i) = \min.$$

Suppose that (X_1,Y_1) , ..., (X_n,Y_n) , ... are i.i.d. observations of a random vector (X,Y), where Y is one-dimensional and X may be multi-dimensional. It is shown that the M-estimates $\hat{\alpha}_n$, $\hat{\beta}_n$ defined in this manner converge with probability one to α_0 , β_0 respectively $((\alpha_0,\beta_0)$ is the true parameter) as $n \to \infty$, under very general conditions on the function ρ and the distribution of (X,Y).

AMS 1980 subject classifications: Primary 62J05; secondary 62F12.

Key words and phrases: M-estimate, linear model, strong consistency.

Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Contract F49620-85-C-0008. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

INTRODUCTION

Let (X_1,Y_1) , ..., (X_n,Y_n) , ... be i.i.d. observations of a random vector (X,Y), where Y is one-dimensional and X may be multi-dimensional. Suppose that the regression of Y to X, in some sense, is a linear function $x_0 + x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_4 + x_5 + x_$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(Y_i - \alpha - \beta'X_i) = \min$$
 (1)

as the estimator. Here ρ is a properly selected function defined over $R' = (-\infty, \infty)$.

In literature, the case is often considered in which the X_i 's are supposed to be known constant vectors rather than observations of some random vector. But in many applications, especially in problems of econometrics, it is more practical to assume the random character of the X_i 's.

A common feature of most works dealing with this estimation problem, for example [2], [3] and [6], is to assume that ρ has a continuous derivative ψ everywhere over R', thus converting the minimization problem (1) to the problem of solving the system of equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi(Y_{j} - \alpha - \beta'X_{j}) = 0, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} \psi(Y_{j} - \alpha - \beta'X_{j}) = 0.$$
 (2)

In order to validate this procedure, one usually makes the assumption that ρ is a convex function. These assumptions seem unduly restrictive for, in some important cases such as $\rho(t) = |t|$ (Minimum L₁-Norm estimate),

 ρ is not everywhere differentiable. Also, the convexity assumption excludes many functions with practical importance. For example, $\rho(t) = \min\{|t|,k\} \text{ for some constant } k>0. \text{ In general, any function}$ bounded over R' is not allowed under this assumption.

So it makes much sense to tackle the estimation problem directly starting from the minimization problem (1). Some works (for example, [1], [4], [7]) have been done in this respect for the special case of $\rho(t) = |t|$, but as far as the authors know, no work exists for general ρ up to now.

The purpose of the present article is to study this problem in case that the X_i 's are observations of a random vector. To some extent our method can also be employed to deal with the case in which the X_i 's are known nonrandom vectors, but some additional assumptions will be needed.

2. FORMULATION OF THE RESULTS

In the sequel we shall stick to the notations introduced in Section 1. We shall denote by $(\hat{\alpha}_n, \hat{\beta}_n)$ a Borel-measurable solution of the minimization problem (1).

We shall always impose the following conditions on the function ρ and the random vector (X,Y):

- a. p is continuous everywhere over R'.
- b. ρ is nondecreasing over $(0,\infty)$ and nonincreasing over $(-\infty,0)$.

From b it is seen that $\rho(0) = \min\{\rho(t): t \in R'\}$. Without losing generality, we may assume that

c.
$$\rho(0) = 0$$
, $\rho(t) > 0$ on R'. (3)

d.
$$E_{\rho}(Y - \alpha - \beta'X) < \infty$$
 for any $\alpha \in R'$, $\beta \in R^d$. (4)

e. For any $x \in R^d$, the function

$$f_{x}(\theta) \equiv E\{\rho(Y-\theta) | X = x\}$$
 (5)

attains its minimum uniquely at

$$\theta_{x} = \alpha_{0} + \beta_{0}^{\dagger} x \tag{6}$$

with α_0 , β_0 not depending on x.

For convenience of reference, we shall call the set of conditions $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ by "Condition (A)".

THEOREM 1. Suppose that the following are true:

- Condition (A).
- 2. $\rho(\infty) = \rho(-\infty) = \infty$.
- 3. If $|\alpha| + ||\beta|| > 0$, then $P(\alpha + \beta'X = 0) < 1$ where $||\beta||$ is the Euclidean norm of β .

Then we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\hat{\alpha}_n \rightarrow \alpha_0, \qquad \hat{\beta}_n \rightarrow \beta_0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$
 (7)

The following theorem deals with the case that ρ may be bounded.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that the following are true:

- 1. Condition (A).
- 2. $\rho(\infty) = \rho(-\infty)$.
- 3. If $|\alpha| + ||\beta|| > 0$, then $P(\alpha + \beta' X = 0) = 0$.

Then (7) holds true.

Finally, we have the following theorem concerning the convergence rate of $\hat{\alpha}_n$ and $\hat{\beta}_n$.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that

- 1. The conditions of Theorem 1 or Theorem 2 are true.
- 2. For any $\alpha \in R'$ and $\beta \in R^d$, the moment generating function of $\rho(Y \alpha \beta'X)$ is finite in some neighborhood of zero (the neighborhood may depend on α , β).

Then for arbitrarily given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists constant c > 0 independent of n such that

$$P(|\hat{\alpha}_{n} - \alpha_{0}| \ge \varepsilon) = O(e^{-cn}), \qquad P(||\hat{\beta}_{n} - \beta_{0}|| \ge \varepsilon) = O(e^{-cn})$$
 (8)

Before entering the details of the proof, we make some remarks about the conditions of the theorems.

1. Condition b seems quite natural from the practical point of view. As for the continuity assumption a, it also seems reasonable. This condition can be weakened to some extent at the expense of a much stronger condition on the distribution of (X,Y).

- 2. Condition 3 of Theorem 1, in fact, is a consequence of e. Condition 3 of Theorem 2 holds when X possesses a density.
- 3. Condition e is closely related to the meaning of the regression. More clearly speaking, the exact meaning of the regression determines the class of functions ρ which can be used in formulating the minimization problem (1). For instance, when $\alpha_0 + \beta_0^{\dagger} x$ is the conditional median of Y given X = x (median regression). We can choose $\rho(t) = |t|$, or any ρ for which $E\{\rho(Y-\theta)|X=x\}$ attains its minimum uniquely at the conditional median. Likewisely, when $\alpha_0 + \beta_0^{\dagger} x$ is the conditional expectation of Y given X = x, we can choose $\rho(t) = t^2$. An important case is that the conditional distribution of Y given X = x is symmetric and unimodal with center $\alpha_0 + \beta_0^{\dagger} x$. In this case, ρ can be chosen as any even function satisfying conditions a, b, d, and that $\rho(t) > \rho(0)$ when $t \neq 0$.

PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

We give the detailed proof of Theorem 1. An easy modification of the argument enables us to prove the remaining two theorems.

The main body of the proof of Theorem 1 is contained in the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, \mathcal{H} is a bounded closed set in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , and $(\alpha_0,\beta_0')\in \mathcal{H}$. Let $(\tilde{\alpha}_n,\tilde{\beta}_n)$ be a Borel measurable solution of the restricted minimization problem

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(Y_i - \alpha - \beta'X_i) = \min$$
 (9)

with (α, β') being restricted in (H). Then as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n \rightarrow \alpha_0, \qquad \tilde{\beta}_n \rightarrow \beta_0, \quad a.s.$$
 (10)

Denote by \overline{S}_R the closed ball $\{(\alpha,\beta'): \alpha^2 + ||\beta||^2 \le R^2\}$ in R^{d+1} . $(\hat{\alpha}_n,\hat{\beta}_n')$ is the solution of the unrestricted minimization problem (1) as as mentioned earlier.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, then there exists constant R such that with probability one we have $(\hat{a}_n, \hat{\beta}_n') \in \overline{S}_R$ for n sufficiently large.

It is readily seen that Theorem 1 follows from these two lemmas. Indeed, take $H = \overline{S}_R$, where R is the constant mentioned in Lemma 2. Lemma 2 indicates that

$$P((\hat{\alpha}_n, \hat{\beta}_n') = (\tilde{\alpha}_n, \tilde{\beta}_n')$$
 for n sufficiently large = 1,

which in turn entails

$$P\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}(\hat{\alpha}_n - \tilde{\alpha}_n) = 0, \lim_{n\to\infty}(\hat{\beta}_n - \tilde{\beta}_n) = 0\right) = 1$$

From this and Lemma 1, (7) follows.

Proof of Lemma 1. Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\alpha_0 = 0$$
, $\beta_0 = 0$.

For any constant $\ell > 0$, define

$$A_{\ell} = [-\ell, \ell]^{d+1}.$$

Take ℓ large enough such that $\widehat{\oplus} \subset A_{\ell}$. Denote the $T = 2^{d+1}$ points $(\pm \ell, \pm \ell, \ldots, \pm \ell)$ by $(a_1, b_1'), \ldots, (a_T, b_T')$. According to conditions b, c, it can easily be shown that

$$0 \leq \rho(y - \alpha - \beta'x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{T} \rho(y - a_j - b_j'x)$$
 (11)

for any $(x',y) \in R^{d+1}$ and $(\alpha,\beta') \in A_{\varrho}$. Define

$$Q(\alpha,\beta) = E_{\rho}(Y - \alpha - \beta'X). \tag{12}$$

By (11) and conditions a, d, it follows from the Lebesgue convergence theorem that Q is continuous. By condition e it follows that

$$Q(\alpha,\beta) > Q(0,0), \text{ when } |\alpha| + ||\beta|| > 0.$$
 (12)

Hence for arbitrarily given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$q \equiv \inf\{Q(\alpha,\beta): (\alpha,\beta') \in \mathbb{R} - A_{\varepsilon}\} - Q(0,0) > 0.$$
 (14)

For any constant M > 0, denote by $I_M \equiv I_M(X,Y)$ the indicator of the set $A_{M^*}^C$. Choose $\epsilon_1 \in (0,q/4)$ and M > 0 large enough such that

$$P\{(X',Y) \in A_{M}\} > 1 - \epsilon_{1}, \qquad (15)$$

$$E[I_{M}\rho(Y-\alpha-\beta'x)] < \epsilon_{1}$$
 for any $(\alpha,\beta') \in \mathbb{H}$. (16)

The existence of such a constant M follows from condition d and (11),

Write those elements in $\{(X_1,Y_1),\ldots,(X_n,Y_r)\}$ which fall into the set A_M , in the order of appearance, as

$$(X_1^*, Y_1^*), \ldots, (X_n^*, Y_n^*).$$

Evidently, these variables are conditionally i.i.d. given n', with the common distribution $(X,Y)|((X',Y)\in A_M)$. Define the event

$$B_n = \{n^1 > (1 - 2\epsilon_1)n\}.$$

Then by (15) and the strong law of large numbers, it follows that with probability one $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{n}}$ occurs for n sufficiently large. Put

$$Q_{M}(\alpha,\beta) \equiv E[(1 - I_{M})\rho(Y - \alpha - \beta'X)].$$

Then Q_M is continuous in α , β . Find $\epsilon_3^1 > 0$ sufficiently small, such that

$$|Q_{M}(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}) - Q_{M}(\alpha,\beta)| \leq \varepsilon_{1}$$

when

$$(\alpha, \beta') \in \mathfrak{B}, \quad (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}') \in \mathfrak{B}, \quad |\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}| \leq \varepsilon_{3}', \quad ||\beta - \tilde{\beta}|| \leq \varepsilon_{3}'.$$

Put

Find ϵ_2 > 0 sufficiently small such that

$$\sup\{|\rho(r_2) - \rho(r_1)|: |r_1| \le \mathbb{B}, |r_2| \le \mathbb{E}, |r_2 - r_1| \le \varepsilon_2\} < \varepsilon_1. \quad (18)$$

Find $\epsilon_3 \in (0, \epsilon_3')$ such that

$$|(a+b'x) - (\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}'x)| \leq \varepsilon_2$$
 (19)

when

$$(a,b') \in \mathfrak{B}, \quad (\tilde{a},\tilde{b}') \in \mathfrak{B}, \quad |a-\tilde{a}| \leq \epsilon_3, \quad ||b-\tilde{b}|| \leq \epsilon_3, \quad ||x|| \leq Md,$$

Choose a finite set $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \{(\alpha_i, \beta_i'): i = 1, \ldots, m\} \subset \mathfrak{R} - A_{\varepsilon}$, such that for any $(\alpha, \beta') \in \mathfrak{R} - A_{\varepsilon}$ there exists $(\alpha_i, \beta_i') \in \mathfrak{R}_1$ satisfying

$$|\alpha_i - \alpha| \leq \varepsilon_3, \qquad ||\beta_i - \beta|| \leq \varepsilon_3$$

By the strong law of large numbers, with probability one we have

$$\frac{1}{n}, \sum_{j=1}^{n^{*}} \rho(Y_{i}^{*} - \alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}^{!}X_{i}^{*}) > E[\rho(Y - \alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}^{!}X)(1 - I_{M})] - \epsilon_{1}$$

$$> E\rho(Y - \alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}^{!}X) - 2\epsilon_{1}$$

$$> Q(0,0) + q - 2\epsilon_{1}, \quad j = 1, ..., m \quad (20)$$

for n sufficiently large. Hence with probability one

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(Y_{i} - \alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}^{\dagger} X_{i}) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(Y_{i}^{\star} - \alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}^{\dagger} X_{i}^{\star}) \frac{n!}{n}$$

$$\geq (1 - 2\varepsilon_{1}) [Q(0,0) + q - 2\varepsilon_{1}]$$

$$\equiv Q(0,0) + \eta^{\dagger}, \quad j = 1,...,m$$
(21)

for n sufficiently large, where

$$\eta' = (q - 2\epsilon_1)(1 - 2\epsilon_1) - 2\epsilon_1Q(0,0).$$

Now choose arbitrarily $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}') \in \mathbb{H} - A_{\epsilon}$. Find $(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}') \in \mathbb{H}_{1}$ such that $|\alpha_{j} - \tilde{\alpha}| \leq \epsilon_{3}$, $||\beta_{j} - \tilde{\beta}|| \leq \epsilon_{3}$. According to (18), (19), (21), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(Y_{i} - \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\beta}' X_{i}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n'} \rho(Y_{i}^{*} - \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\beta}' X_{i}^{*})$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^{n'} \rho(Y_{i}^{*} - \alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}' X_{i}^{*})$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{n'} |\rho(Y_{i}^{*} - \alpha_{j} - \beta_{j}' X_{i}^{*}) - \rho(Y_{i}^{*} - \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\beta}' X_{i}^{*})|$$

$$\geq n[Q(0,0) + \eta'] - n' \varepsilon_{1} \geq n[Q(0,0) + \eta], \qquad (22)$$

with probability one for n sufficiently large, where η = η^{\prime} - ϵ_{1}

Choose $\epsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small such that n > 0. (22) should be understood that it is true simultaneously for all $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}') \in \mathbb{R} - A_{\epsilon}$ when (21) is true. Therefore, with probability one we have

$$\min\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\rho(Y_{i}-\alpha-\beta'X_{i}):(\alpha,\beta')\in \mathbb{D}-A_{\varepsilon}\}\geq Q(0,0)+\eta \qquad (23)$$

for n sufficiently large.

On the other hand, by the strong law of large numbers, with probability one we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(Y_i) < Q(0,0) + \eta$$
 (24)

for n sufficiently large. From (23), (24), it follows that $P(\|\hat{\alpha}_n\| \leq \epsilon, \|\hat{\beta}_n\| \leq \epsilon, \text{ for n sufficiently large}) = 1.$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 2. Write $S_1 = \{(\alpha,\beta'): |\alpha|^2 + ||\beta||^2 = 1\}$. According to condition 3 of Theorem 1, one can find $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$q \equiv \inf\{P(|\alpha + \beta'X| > \epsilon): (\alpha,\beta') \in S_1\} > 0.$$
 (25)

Eind M sufficiently large, such that $P(X \in A_{\underline{M}}) > 1 - q/4$. Put $n = [3(1+dM)]^{-1}$. Choose a finite set $\tilde{S}_1 \subset S_1$ in such a way so that for any $\theta \in S_1$ there exists $\tilde{\theta} \in \tilde{S}_1$ satisfying $\|\theta - \tilde{\theta}\| < n$. By (25) and the strong law of large numbers, with probability one we have

#{i:
$$1 \le i \le n$$
, $|\alpha + \beta' X_i| > \varepsilon$ for any $(\alpha, \beta') \in S_1$ } $\ge nq/2$ (26)

for n sufficiently large, where #(A) denotes the number of elements of set A.

By the strong law of large numbers, with probability one we have

$$\#\{i: 1 \le i \le n, X_i \in A_M\} \ge n(1 - q/4).$$
 (27)

Choose a constant K such that

$$qK/8 > Q(0,0) + 1$$

where the function Q is defined by (12). According to condition 2 of Theorem 1, one can find h so that $p(a) \ge K$ when $|a| \ge h$. Choose a constant R large enough so that

$$\varepsilon R/4 \ge h$$
,
 $P(|Y| < \varepsilon R/4) > 1 - q/8$.

By the strong law of large numbers, with probability one we have

$$\#\{i: 1 \le i \le n, |Y_i| \le \varepsilon R/4\} \ge n(1-q/8).$$
 (28)

Now choose arbitrarily a point (α,β') outside \overline{S}_R . We have

$$(\alpha,\beta') = r(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}'): r > R, (\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}') \in S_1.$$

Assume that (26)-(28) are true, then

1. If $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}') \in \tilde{S}_1$, from (26) we have

#{i:
$$1 \le i \le n$$
, $|\alpha + \beta' X_i| \ge R\varepsilon$ } $\ge nq/2$. (29)

From (28), (29), we obtain

#{i:
$$1 \le i \le n$$
, $|Y_i - \alpha - \beta'X_i| \ge 3R\epsilon/4$ } $\ge 3nq/8$. (30)

2. If $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}') \notin \tilde{S}_1$, then choose $(\alpha^*, \beta^{*'}) \in \tilde{S}_1$ satisfying $|\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha^*| < \eta, \qquad ||\tilde{\beta} - \beta^*|| \le \eta,$

when $|\alpha^* + \beta^* X_i| > \epsilon$ and $X_i \in A_M$, we have

$$|\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta}' X_{1}| > \varepsilon - |(\alpha * - \tilde{\alpha}) + (\beta * - \tilde{\beta})' X_{1}|$$

$$\geq \varepsilon - |\alpha * - \tilde{\alpha}| - ||\beta * - \tilde{\beta}|| \cdot ||X_{1}||$$

$$\geq \varepsilon - \eta - \eta dM > \varepsilon/2.$$

Hence $|\alpha + \beta' X_i| > R_{\epsilon}/2$. From this, and (26)-(28), we get

#{i:
$$1 \le i \le n$$
, $|Y_i - \alpha - \beta^T X_i| > R\epsilon/4$ } $\ge nq/8$. (31)

Summarizing these two cases, we see that with probability one,

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_{i=1}^{n} \rho(Y_i - \alpha - \beta'X_i) \ge qK/8 > Q(0,0) + 1$$

holds simultaneously for all (α, β') outside \overline{S}_R , when n is large enough. Since (24) is true for n = 1, we see that with probability one

$$\min\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\rho(Y_{i}-\alpha-\beta'X_{i}): (\alpha,\beta') \notin \overline{S}_{R}\} > \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\rho(Y_{i})$$
 (32)

for n sufficiently large. Therefore

$$P\{(\hat{\alpha}_n, \hat{\beta}_n^i) \in S_{\overline{R}} \text{ for n sufficiently large}\} = 1$$
 (33)

which proves Lemma 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, assume $\alpha_0 = 0$, $\beta_0 = 0$. No change is needed in the proof of Lemma 1. For a proof of Lemma 2 under the conditions of Theorem 2, first note that $Q(0,0) < L(\infty)$ by conditions a, b, e and condition 2 of Theorem 2. From condition 3 of Theorem 3, it is readily seen that for any a < 1 there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\inf\{P(|\alpha+\beta^{\dagger}X|>\varepsilon): (\alpha,\beta^{\dagger}) \in S_{\uparrow}\} > a.$$

Starting from these two facts and employing the argument used earlier, it can be shown that there exists constant R such that

$$P(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\rho(Y_{i}-\alpha-\beta^{i}X_{i})) \geq c \text{ for } (\alpha,\beta^{i}) \notin \overline{S}_{R}$$
simultaneously, when n large enough) = 1 (34)

where $Q(0,0) < c < L(\infty)$. From (34) we obtain (32), hence (33),

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof follows from the following two lemmas:

LEMMA 1'. Under the conditions of Theorem 3 for arbitrarily given ϵ > 0, there exists constant c > 0 such that

$$P(|\alpha_n - \alpha_0| \ge \varepsilon) = O(e^{-cn})$$

$$P(|\vec{\beta}_n - \beta_0| > \varepsilon) = O(e^{-cn})$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_n$, $\tilde{\beta}_n$ are the same as in Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2'. Under the conditions of Theorem 3, there exist constants R > 0 and c > 0 such that

$$P\{(\widehat{\alpha}_n - \alpha_0, \widehat{\beta}_n' - \beta_0') \notin \overline{S}_R\} = O(e^{-cn}).$$

These lemmas can be proved by employing the argument used in proving Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, with the help of the following fact (see [5], p.288): Suppose that ξ_1 , ξ_2 , ... is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, $E\xi_1=0$ and $E\exp(t\xi_1)<\infty$ for $|t|<\delta$, $\delta>0$, then for arbitrarily given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists constant c>0 such that

$$P(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}/n\right| \geq \varepsilon) = O(e^{-cn}).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] BLOOMFIELD, P. and STIEGER, W.L. (1983), Least Absolute Deviations, Birkhäuser,
- [2] HUBER, P.J. (1973). Robust regression. Ann. Statist. 1, 799-821.
- [3] MARONNA, R.A. and YOHAI V.J. (1981). Asymptotic behavior of general M-estimates for regression and scale with random carriers. 2. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete band 58, 7-20.
- [4] OBERHOFER, W. (1982). The corsistency of nonlinear regression minimizing the L_1 -norm. Ann. Statist. 10, 316-319.
- [5] PETROV, V.V. (1975). Sums of Independent Random Variables. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [6] YOHAI, V.J. and MARONNA, R.A. (1979), Asymptotic behavior of m-estimators for the linear model. Ann. Statist. 7, 258-268.

CONTRACTOR STATES SESSION STATES STATES STATES STATES

[7] WU, Yeuhua (1987), Strong consistency and exponential rate of the "minimum L_1 -norm" estimates in linear regression models. Tech. Report. No. 87-18, Center for Multivariate Analysis, Univ. of Pittsburgh.

END DATE FILMED DEC. 1987